



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---------------------------|--------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/944,192 | 08/30/2001 | Hideaki Watanabe | 09792909-5126 | 1206 | |
| 26263 | 7590 03/29/2006 | | EXAMINER | | |
| | HEIN NATH & ROSE | HENEGHAN, MATTHEW E | | | |
| P.O. BOX 061 WACKER DE | UVE STATION, SEARS | TOWER | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| CHICAGO, I | L 60606-1080 | | 2134 | | |

DATE MAILED: 03/29/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|------------------|-----------------|--|
| 09/944,192 | WATANABE ET AL. | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| Matthew Heneghan | 2134 | |

| | Matthew Heneghan | 2134 | | | | | | |
|--|--|---|------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the o | correspondence add | ress | | | | | |
| HE REPLY FILED 16 March 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. | | | | | | | | |
| The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No. (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods: | n the same day as filing a Notice o wing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in | of Appeal. To avoid at offidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (| ence, which CFR 41.31; or | | | | | |
| a) \square The period for reply expires $\underline{3}$ months from the mailing date of | the final rejection. | | | | | | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f) | an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE F). | f the final rejection. IRST REPLY WAS FILE | D WITHIN TWO | | | | | |
| extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) bove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any parened patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | | | |
| NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in composition of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any estimates a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be AMENDMENTS | xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e) |), to avoid dismissal (| of the appeal. | | | | | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co | nsideration and/or search (see NC | | because | | | | | |
| (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belowable) (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in beautiful appeal; and/or | | educing or simplifying | the issues for | | | | | |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1 | | ejected claims. | | | | | | |
| The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | | | | | | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). | | e, timely filed amendn | nent canceling | | | | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | vill be entered and an | explanation of | | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-40</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apper y and was not earlier presented. | eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d) | ils to provide a (1). | | | | | |
| 0. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | | | | | | | | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by | it does NOT place the application | in condition for allowa | ince because: | | | | | |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other: | (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper | No(s) A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A | | | | | | |
| | | L'ELLINGSHI | | | | | | |

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The claims include new limitations that would require further search.